01 02 03 04 05 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 06 AT SEATTLE 07 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. CR06-414 RSL 08 Plaintiff, 09 SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. v. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO 10 ANTHONY DWAYNE PURDMON, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE 11 Defendant. 12 An initial hearing on a petition for violation of supervised release was held before the 13 14 undersigned Magistrate Judge on April 19, 2009. The United States was represented by 15 Assistant United States Attorney Susan G. Loitz, and the defendant by Jessie G. Cantor. The 16 proceedings were digitally recorded. 17 The defendant had been charged and convicted for Bank Fraud in violation of 18 18 U.S.C. § 1344. On June 8, 2007, defendant was sentenced by the Honorable Robert S. Lasnik 19 to a term of thirty (30) months in custody to be followed by five years (5) years of supervised 20 release. 21 The conditions of supervised release included the requirements that the defendant 22 comply with all local, state, and federal laws, and with the standard conditions. Special 23 conditions imposed included, but were not limited to restitution in the amount of \$106,500, 24 drug testing, providing his probation officer with requested financial information among 25 other things. 26 In a Petition for Warrant or Summons, dated August 5, 2009, U.S. Probation Officer

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE 1

Mark Chance asserted the following violations by defendant of the conditions of his supervised release:

- (1) Using cocaine on or before June 22, 2009, in violation of standard condition No. 7.
- (2) Violating a Kent Municipal Court No Contact Order on or about May 11, 2009, in violation of the general condition that he not commit another federal, state or local crime.
- (3) Failing to work regularly at a lawful occupation since February 2009, in violation of standard condition No. 5.
- (4) Failing to pay \$25 monthly toward restitution since April 2009, in violation of a special condition of supervision.

On August 19, 2009, defendant made his initial appearance. The defendant was advised of his rights, acknowledged those rights, and admitted to the alleged violations Nos. 1, 3 and 4. He denied alleged violation number 2 and requested an evidentiary hearing before Chief Judge Lasnik on the alleged violation.

I therefore recommend that the Court find the defendant to have violated the terms and conditions of his supervised release as to violations numbers 1, 3 and 4 and that the Court conduct an evidentiary hearing on alleged violation number 2. A disposition hearing on violations 1, 3 and 4 and an evidentiary hearing on alleged violation number 2 has been set for September 3, 2009 at 9:00 a.m. before the Honorable Robert S. Lasnik.

At the defendant's request, a separate detention hearing took place on August 20, 2009 before the undersigned Magistrate Judge. At that hearing, the government proffered that small amounts of cocaine were found in defendant's car when he was arrested, and that \$1507.40 was in his possession when he was arrested. In light of the fact that he has admitted to violation number 3 that he has failed to work regularly at a lawful occupation since February 2009, defendant was ordered detained pending the final evidentiary hearing on

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE 2

alleged violation number 2 and the disposition hearing on admitted violations 1, 3 and 4. DATED this 20th day of April, 2009. /s/ James P. Donohue JAMES P. DONOHUE United States Magistrate Judge District Judge: cc: Honorable Robert S. Lasnik AUSA: Ms. Susan G. Loitz Defendant's attorney: Mr. Jessie G. Cantor Probation officer: Mr. Mark Chance SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

OF SUPERVISED RELEASE

PAGE 3